Mr. Rogers M. Prestridge Attorney at law Post Office Box 5259 Bossier City, Louisiana 71010

Dear Mr. Prestridge:

1202

This is in reference to the reapportionment plan for the Bossier Parish School Board, which was submitted to the Attorney General pursuant to Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

As you may know, the statute amending provisions of Louisians law desling with the election and apportioning of police jurors and the redistricting of police jury wards (Act Mo. 445 passed during the 1958 Louisians logislative session) was seimitted to the Attorney General pursuant to Section 5. The implementation of this statute, along with the provisions authorizing the creation of special school board election districts not identical with police jury ward boundaries and also authorizing at-large elections for school board members (Act 501), were objected to by him on June 25, 1969. Because of our earlier objection to the enabling legislation, I must advise you that the Attorney General cannot approve your School Board apportionment plan which creates special school board election districts different from the police jury ward boundaries. In the case of Diar v. Love, 307 F. Supp. 974 (M.D. Riss. 1959), the court ruled that as a result of the Attorney General's

objection to the implementation of a state statute authorizing st-large elections for boards of supervisors in Mississippi, the supervisors "do not have statutory power or authority to provide" for such elections.

Although we understand the difficulties a school board must overcome in drawing now election districts for a parish, we must conclude that the Attorney General of the United States is without power to supersede the Louisians legislature by carving out exceptions for particular parishes.

Sincerely,

DAVID L. MORMAN Acting Assistant Attorney General Civil Rights Division